

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/727,607	12/05/2003	Sei Kojima	246175US2	7511
22850	7590 11/29/2005	EXAMINER		
OBLON, SP	PIVAK, MCCLELLAN	ARTHUR JEANGLAUDE, GERTRUDE		
	IA, VA 22314	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3661	

DATE MAILED: 11/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/727,	607	KOJIMA ET AL.				
		Examin	er	Art Unit				
			e Arthur-Jeanglaude	3661				
۔۔ Period for	The MAILING DATE of this commun Reply	ication appears on t	he cover sheet with the c	correspondence ac	idress			
WHICH - Extensi after SI - If NO p - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M ons of time may be available under the provisions X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commercial for reply is specified above, the maximum stator eply within the set or extended period for reply oly received by the Office later than three months of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AALLING DATE OF of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. atutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUNICATION event, however, may a reply be tin will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from pplication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status					•			
1) 🛛 F	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>05 December</i>	2003.					
·	·	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)□ S								
C	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositio	n of Claims							
4)× (4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4.	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) 🗌 C	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ C	Claim(s) <u>1,5 and 9</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ (☑ Claim(s) <u>2-4,6-8 and 10-12</u> is/are objected to.							
8) 🗌 C	Claim(s) are subject to restric	ction and/or election	requirement.					
Applicatio	n Papers							
9)□ T	he specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.	,	•				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 December 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
36	e trie attached detailed Office actic	in for a list of the ce	runed copies not receive	.u.				
Attachment(s	*							
	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	PTO 948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) 🔯 Informa	of Draπsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/5/03</u> .		5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/727,607

Art Unit: 3661

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-12 are presented for examination.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 5, 9 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 8, of copending Application No. 10/721,465. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because copending application distinctly recites a controller which detects a road gradient and output a command. It is well settled that the omission of an element, and its function is an obvious expedient if the remaining elements perform the same function as before. In re Karlson, 136 USPQ 184 (CCPA 1963). Also note Ex parte Rainu, 168 USPQ 375 (Bd. App. 1969). Omission of a

Application/Control Number: 10/727,607

Art Unit: 3661

idon/Control Number: 10/12/,00

reference element of step whose function is not needed would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art .

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-4, 6-8, 10-12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art fails to disclose the limitation of claim 2 wherein a torque converter is provided in the automatic transmission; and the controller stores, as a hydraulic pressure base value, the hydraulic pressure command value for the input clutch for enabling the torque converter to achieve one of a predetermined speed ratio and a predetermined speed difference while the neutral control is being executed, and calculates the hydraulic pressure command value for the input clutch by adding a first predetermined value to the hydraulic pressure base value.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Lawrie et al.

(U.S. Patent No. 6,019,698)

Tsutsui et al.

(U.S. Patent No. 6,139,471)

Mikami et al.

(U.S. Patent No. 6,039,673)

Art Unit: 3661

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gertrude Arthur-Jeanglaude whose telephone number is (571) 272-6954. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Black can be reached on (571) 272-6956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GAJ

November 21, 2005

ERTRUDE A. JEANGLAUDÉ